The Slice Spectral Sequence of a Height 4 Theory

XiaoLin Danny Shi

(Joint with Mike Hill, Guozhen Wang, and Zhouli Xu)

Harvard

June 8, 2018





•  $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$ 

- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- Real space X: X with a  $C_2$ -action

- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- Real space X: X with a  $C_2$ -action



- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- ▶ Real space X: X with a C<sub>2</sub>-action



▶ Real vector bundle *E* over *X*:

- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- ▶ Real space X: X with a C<sub>2</sub>-action



- ▶ Real vector bundle *E* over *X*:
  - E: complex vector bundle over X

- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- ▶ Real space X: X with a C<sub>2</sub>-action



- ▶ Real vector bundle *E* over *X*:
  - E: complex vector bundle over X
  - ► E: Real space
  - $p: E \to X$  is  $C_2$ -equivariant

- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- ▶ Real space X: X with a C<sub>2</sub>-action



▶ Real vector bundle *E* over *X*:

- E: complex vector bundle over X
- ► E: Real space

• 
$$p: E \rightarrow X$$
 is  $C_2$ -equivariant

•  $\tau: E_x \to E_{\tau(x)}$  is anti  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear

$$\tau(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{v})=\overline{\mathbf{z}}\cdot\tau(\mathbf{v})$$

- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- ▶ Real space X: X with a C<sub>2</sub>-action



Real vector bundle E over X:

- E: complex vector bundle over X
- ► E: Real space

• 
$$p: E \rightarrow X$$
 is  $C_2$ -equivariant

•  $\tau: E_x \to E_{\tau(x)}$  is anti  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear

$$\tau(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{v})=\overline{\mathbf{z}}\cdot\tau(\mathbf{v})$$

(This is NOT a  $C_2$ -equivariant complex vector bundle!)

- $C_2$ : cyclic group of order 2 with generator  $\tau$
- ▶ Real space X: X with a C<sub>2</sub>-action



▶ Real vector bundle *E* over *X*:

- E: complex vector bundle over X
- ► E: Real space

• 
$$p: E \rightarrow X$$
 is  $C_2$ -equivariant

•  $\tau: E_x \to E_{\tau(x)}$  is anti  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear

$$\tau(\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{v})=\overline{\mathbf{z}}\cdot\tau(\mathbf{v})$$

(This is NOT a  $C_2$ -equivariant complex vector bundle!)

•  $K_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ : Grothendieck's construction

•  $\gamma_n$ : the universal bundle over BU(n)

- $\gamma_n$ : the universal bundle over BU(n)
- $BU(n)^{\gamma_n}$ : its Thom space

- $\gamma_n$ : the universal bundle over BU(n)
- $BU(n)^{\gamma_n}$ : its Thom space
- $\Sigma^2 BU(n)^{\gamma_n} \rightarrow BU(n+1)^{\gamma_{n+1}}$

- $\gamma_n$ : the universal bundle over BU(n)
- ► BU(n)<sup>γn</sup>: its Thom space
- $\Sigma^2 BU(n)^{\gamma_n} \rightarrow BU(n+1)^{\gamma_{n+1}}$ 
  - $\implies$  Thom spectrum MU

- $\gamma_n$ : the universal bundle over BU(n)
- ► BU(n)<sup>γn</sup>: its Thom space
- $\Sigma^2 BU(n)^{\gamma_n} \rightarrow BU(n+1)^{\gamma_{n+1}}$

 $\implies$  Thom spectrum MU

BU(n): Real space
 γ<sub>n</sub>: Real vector bundle

- $\gamma_n$ : the universal bundle over BU(n)
- ► BU(n)<sup>γn</sup>: its Thom space
- $\Sigma^2 BU(n)^{\gamma_n} \rightarrow BU(n+1)^{\gamma_{n+1}}$

 $\implies$  Thom spectrum MU

- BU(n): Real space
  γ<sub>n</sub>: Real vector bundle
- ►  $\Sigma^{\rho} BU(n)^{\gamma_n} \rightarrow BU(n+1)^{\gamma_{n+1}}$  $\rho$ : regular representation of  $C_2$

- $\gamma_n$ : the universal bundle over BU(n)
- ► BU(n)<sup>γn</sup>: its Thom space
- $\Sigma^2 BU(n)^{\gamma_n} \rightarrow BU(n+1)^{\gamma_{n+1}}$

 $\implies$  Thom spectrum MU

- BU(n): Real space
  γ<sub>n</sub>: Real vector bundle
- ►  $\Sigma^{\rho} BU(n)^{\gamma_n} \rightarrow BU(n+1)^{\gamma_{n+1}}$  $\rho$ : regular representation of  $C_2$

 $\implies$  C<sub>2</sub>-equivariant Thom spectrum  $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ 



#### • $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ are both $C_2$ -equivariant spectra

- $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$  are both  $C_2$ -equivariant spectra
- ► Their underlying spectra are KU and MU

- $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$  are both  $C_2$ -equivariant spectra
- Their underlying spectra are KU and MU
- ► *K*<sub>ℝ</sub><sup>*C*<sub>2</sub></sup>: KO

- $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$  are both  $C_2$ -equivariant spectra
- Their underlying spectra are KU and MU
- ► K<sub>R</sub><sup>C<sub>2</sub></sup>: KO MU<sub>R</sub><sup>C<sub>2</sub></sup>: harder to describe (computed by Hu–Kriz)

- $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$  are both  $C_2$ -equivariant spectra
- Their underlying spectra are KU and MU
- K<sub>ℝ</sub><sup>C<sub>2</sub></sup>: KO MU<sub>ℝ</sub><sup>C<sub>2</sub></sup>: harder to describe (computed by Hu−Kriz)
- ►  $\pi^u_* MU_{\mathbb{R}}$  carries the universal  $C_2$ -equivariant formal group law: the  $C_2$ -action corresponds to the [-1]-series

- $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$  are both  $C_2$ -equivariant spectra
- Their underlying spectra are KU and MU
- ► K<sub>R</sub><sup>C<sub>2</sub></sup>: KO MU<sub>R</sub><sup>C<sub>2</sub></sup>: harder to describe (computed by Hu–Kriz)
- ►  $\pi^u_* MU_{\mathbb{R}}$  carries the universal  $C_2$ -equivariant formal group law: the  $C_2$ -action corresponds to the [-1]-series
- ► Localize at the prime 2, MU<sub>R</sub> splits as a wedge of suspensions BP<sub>R</sub>

X: C<sub>4</sub>-spectrum.

$$\pi_n^{C_4}(X) = [S^n, X]^{C_4} = [C_4/C_{4+} \wedge S^n, X]^{C_4}$$

$$\pi_n^{C_4}(X) = [S^n, X]^{C_4} = [C_4/C_{4+} \wedge S^n, X]^{C_4}$$
$$\pi_n^{C_2}(X) = [S^n, X]^{C_2} = [C_4/C_{2+} \wedge S^n, X]^{C_4}$$

$$\pi_n^{C_4}(X) = [S^n, X]^{C_4} = [C_4/C_{4+} \land S^n, X]^{C_4}$$
$$\pi_n^{C_2}(X) = [S^n, X]^{C_2} = [C_4/C_{2+} \land S^n, X]^{C_4}$$
$$\pi_n^u(X) = [S^n, X] = [C_4/e_+ \land S^n, X]^{C_4}$$

$$\pi_{n}^{C_{4}}(X) = [S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}} = [C_{4}/C_{4+} \wedge S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}}$$
$$tr \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow res \end{array} \right)$$
$$\pi_{n}^{C_{2}}(X) = [S^{n}, X]^{C_{2}} = [C_{4}/C_{2+} \wedge S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}}$$
$$tr \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow res \end{array} \right)$$
$$\pi_{n}^{u}(X) = [S^{n}, X] = [C_{4}/e_{+} \wedge S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}}$$

X: C<sub>4</sub>-spectrum.

$$\pi_{n}^{C_{4}}(X) = [S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}} = [C_{4}/C_{4+} \wedge S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}}$$
$$tr \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow res \end{array} \right)$$
$$\pi_{n}^{C_{2}}(X) = [S^{n}, X]^{C_{2}} = [C_{4}/C_{2+} \wedge S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}}$$
$$tr \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow res \end{array} \right)$$
$$\pi_{n}^{u}(X) = [S^{n}, X] = [C_{4}/e_{+} \wedge S^{n}, X]^{C_{4}}$$

► Mackey functors! <u>m</u>X

X: C<sub>4</sub>-spectrum.

- ► Mackey functors! <u>m</u>X
- ► tr(res(a)) = 2a

X: C<sub>4</sub>-spectrum.

- ► Mackey functors! <u>m</u>X
- ▶ tr(res(a)) = 2a
- Mackey functors form an Abelian category!

• Non-equivariantly, 
$$\pi_n X = [S^n, X]$$

- Non-equivariantly,  $\pi_n X = [S^n, X]$
- Equivariantly, we have more spheres

- Non-equivariantly,  $\pi_n X = [S^n, X]$
- Equivariantly, we have more spheres
- V: G-representation  $\implies S^V$
### Extra Features

- Non-equivariantly,  $\pi_n X = [S^n, X]$
- Equivariantly, we have more spheres
- V: G-representation  $\implies S^V$
- ► X: G-spectrum

$$\pi_V^G X = [S^V, X]^G$$

## Extra Features

- Non-equivariantly,  $\pi_n X = [S^n, X]$
- Equivariantly, we have more spheres
- V: G-representation  $\implies S^V$
- ► X: G-spectrum

$$\pi_V^G X = [S^V, X]^G$$

•  $\pi_{\bigstar}^G X$ : RO(G)-graded homotopy groups of X

### Extra Features

- Non-equivariantly,  $\pi_n X = [S^n, X]$
- Equivariantly, we have more spheres
- V: G-representation  $\implies S^V$
- ► X: G-spectrum

$$\pi_V^G X = [S^V, X]^G$$

- $\pi_{\bigstar}^G X$ : RO(G)-graded homotopy groups of X
- Both at the same time:  $\underline{\pi}_{\bigstar}(X)$

Classically:

$$BP \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 0 \rangle$$

Classically:

$$BP \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$= \pi_* BP\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, \ldots, v_n]$$

Classically:

$$BP \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$\pi_* BP\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$$
  
 
$$BP\langle 0 \rangle = H\mathbb{Z}$$

Classically:

$$BP \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$= \pi_* BP\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, \ldots, v_n]$$

$$\blacktriangleright BP\langle 0 \rangle = H\mathbb{Z}$$

•  $BP\langle 1 \rangle = ku$  connective K-theory

Classically:

$$BP \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$= \pi_* BP\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, \ldots, v_n]$$

$$\blacktriangleright BP\langle 0\rangle = H\mathbb{Z}$$

• 
$$BP\langle 1 \rangle = ku$$
 connective K-theory

•  $v_n^{-1}BP\langle n \rangle = E(n)$  Johnson–Wilson theory

 $C_2$ -equivariantly:

 $BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 0 \rangle$ 

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 0 \rangle$$

• 
$$\pi^{u}_{*}BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}]$$

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 0 \rangle$$

• 
$$BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle = k_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 0 \rangle$$

• 
$$\pi^{u}_{*}BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}]$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ BP_{\mathbb{R}} \langle 1 \rangle = k_{\mathbb{R}}$$

• 
$$v_n \in \pi_{2(2^n-1)}BP$$
 lifts to  $\bar{v}_n \in \pi^{C_2}_{(2^n-1)
ho}BP_{\mathbb{R}}$ 

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$\blacktriangleright BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1\rangle = k_{\mathbb{R}}$$

- $v_n \in \pi_{2(2^n-1)}BP$  lifts to  $\bar{v}_n \in \pi_{(2^n-1)\rho}^{C_2}BP_{\mathbb{R}}$
- ▶  $\bar{v}_n^{-1}BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle n \rangle = E_{\mathbb{R}}(n)$  Real Johnson–Wilson theory

• 
$$N_{C_2}^{C_{2m}}: C_2$$
-Spectra  $\longrightarrow C_{2^m}$ -Spectra

► 
$$N_{C_2}^{C_{2m}}$$
 :  $C_2$ -Spectra  $\longrightarrow C_{2m}$ -Spectra  
►  $MU^{((C_{2m}))}$  :=  $N_{C_2}^{C_{2m}}(MU_{\mathbb{R}})$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{N}_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}: \ C_2\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra} \longrightarrow C_{2^m}\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra}$$

•  $MU^{((C_{2^m}))} := N_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}(MU_{\mathbb{R}})$ 

underlying spectrum of  $MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $MU \land MU \land MU \land MU$ 

$$\blacktriangleright N_{C_2}^{C_{2m}}: C_2\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra} \longrightarrow C_{2^m}\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra}$$

►  $MU^{((C_{2^m}))} := N_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}(MU_{\mathbb{R}})$ underlying spectrum of  $MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $MU \land MU \land MU \land MU$  $(a, b, c, d) \longrightarrow (\overline{d}, a, b, c)$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{N}_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}: C_2\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra} \longrightarrow C_{2^m}\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra}$$

•  $MU^{((C_{2^m}))} := N_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}(MU_{\mathbb{R}})$ 

underlying spectrum of  $MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $MU \land MU \land MU \land MU$  $(a, b, c, d) \longrightarrow (\overline{d}, a, b, c)$ 

 π<sup>u</sup><sub>\*</sub>MU<sup>((C<sub>2</sub>m))</sup> carries the universal C<sub>2</sub>m-equivariant formal group law such that the C<sub>2</sub>-action corresponds to the [−1]-series

$$\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{N}_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}: C_2\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra} \longrightarrow C_{2^m}\text{-}\mathsf{Spectra}$$

•  $MU^{((C_{2^m}))} := N_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}(MU_{\mathbb{R}})$ 

underlying spectrum of  $MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $MU \land MU \land MU \land MU$  $(a, b, c, d) \longrightarrow (\overline{d}, a, b, c)$ 

 π<sup>u</sup><sub>\*</sub>MU<sup>((C<sub>2</sub>m))</sup> carries the universal C<sub>2</sub>m-equivariant formal group law such that the C<sub>2</sub>-action corresponds to the [−1]-series

► 
$$BP^{((C_{2^m}))} := N_{C_2}^{C_{2^m}}(BP_{\mathbb{R}})$$
  
 $MU^{((C_{2^m}))}$  splits as a wedge of suspensions of  $BP^{((C_{2^m}))}$ 

Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

For  $j \ge 7$ , the Kervaire invariant elements  $\theta_j$  do not exist.

Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

For  $j \ge 7$ , the Kervaire invariant elements  $\theta_j$  do not exist.

• Start with  $MU^{((C_8))}$ 

#### Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

For  $j \ge 7$ , the Kervaire invariant elements  $\theta_j$  do not exist.

- Start with  $MU^{((C_8))}$
- ▶ Invert a certain class  $D \in \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_8} MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $D^{-1}MU^{((C_8))}$

#### Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

For  $j \ge 7$ , the Kervaire invariant elements  $\theta_j$  do not exist.

- Start with  $MU^{((C_8))}$
- ▶ Invert a certain class  $D \in \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_8} MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $D^{-1}MU^{((C_8))}$
- $\Omega$ : its  $C_8$ -fixed point spectrum

#### Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

For  $j \ge 7$ , the Kervaire invariant elements  $\theta_j$  do not exist.

- Start with  $MU^{((C_8))}$
- ▶ Invert a certain class  $D \in \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_8} MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $D^{-1}MU^{((C_8))}$
- $\Omega$ : its  $C_8$ -fixed point spectrum
- Detection Theorem:

If  $\theta_j$  exists, then its image in  $\pi_{2^{j+1}-2}\Omega$  is nonzero.

#### Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

For  $j \ge 7$ , the Kervaire invariant elements  $\theta_j$  do not exist.

- Start with  $MU^{((C_8))}$
- ▶ Invert a certain class  $D \in \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_8} MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $D^{-1}MU^{((C_8))}$
- $\Omega$ : its  $C_8$ -fixed point spectrum
- Detection Theorem: If  $\theta_j$  exists, then its image in  $\pi_{2j+1} = \Omega$  is nonzero.
- Periodicity Theorem:
   π<sub>\*</sub>Ω is 256-periodic.

#### Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

For  $j \ge 7$ , the Kervaire invariant elements  $\theta_j$  do not exist.

- Start with  $MU^{((C_8))}$
- ▶ Invert a certain class  $D \in \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_8} MU^{((C_8))}$ :  $D^{-1}MU^{((C_8))}$
- $\Omega$ : its  $C_8$ -fixed point spectrum
- Detection Theorem: If  $\theta_j$  exists, then its image in  $\pi_{2^{j+1}-2}\Omega$  is nonzero.
- Periodicity Theorem:
   π<sub>\*</sub>Ω is 256-periodic.
- Gap Theorem:
   π<sub>i</sub>Ω = 0 for i = -1, -2, -3.

# Baby $\Omega$



## $BP^{((C_{2^m}))} \to \cdots \to BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 2 \rangle \to BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 1 \rangle \to BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 0 \rangle$

$$BP^{((C_{2^m}))} \to \cdots \to BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 2 \rangle \to BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 1 \rangle \to BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 0 \rangle$$

• 
$$\pi^{u}_{*}BP^{((C_{2^{m}}))}\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[C_{2^{m}} \cdot r_{1}, C_{2^{m}} \cdot r_{3}, \dots, C_{2^{m}} \cdot r_{2^{n}-1}]$$

$$BP^{((C_{2^m}))} o \cdots o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 2 \rangle o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 1 \rangle o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 0 \rangle$$

► 
$$\pi_*^u BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[C_{2^m} \cdot r_1, C_{2^m} \cdot r_3, \dots, C_{2^m} \cdot r_{2^n-1}]$$
  
 $\gamma$ : generator of  $C_{2^m}$   
 $C_{2^m} \cdot r_i := \{r_i, \gamma r_i, \dots, \gamma^{2^{m-1}-1}r_i\}$ 

$$BP^{((C_{2^m}))} o \cdots o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 2 \rangle o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 1 \rangle o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$BP^{((C_{2^m}))} o \cdots o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 2 
angle o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 1 
angle o BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle 0 
angle$$

(Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel reduction theorem)

• 
$$(BP^{((C_8))}\langle 1\rangle)^{C_8}$$
 also detects  $\theta_j!$ 

Slice Cells and the Slice Tower

▶ G: finite group.  $H \subset G$ .  $\rho_H$ : regular representation of H

### Slice Cells and the Slice Tower

- ▶ G: finite group.  $H \subset$  G.  $\rho_H$ : regular representation of H
- Slice cells:  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H}$  and  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H-1}$

### Slice Cells and the Slice Tower

- ▶ G: finite group.  $H \subset$  G.  $\rho_H$ : regular representation of H
- ► Slice cells:  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H}$  and  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H-1}$ Dimension = underlying dimension
- ▶ G: finite group.  $H \subset G$ .  $\rho_H$ : regular representation of H
- ► Slice cells:  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H}$  and  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H-1}$ Dimension = underlying dimension
- ▶  $S_{>n}$ : smallest full subcategory of *G*-spectra that
  - contains slice cells of dimension > n

- ▶ G: finite group.  $H \subset G$ .  $\rho_H$ : regular representation of H
- ► Slice cells:  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H}$  and  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H-1}$ Dimension = underlying dimension
- ▶  $S_{>n}$ : smallest full subcategory of *G*-spectra that
  - contains slice cells of dimension > n
  - closed under taking cofibers, extensions and wedges

- ▶ G: finite group.  $H \subset G$ .  $\rho_H$ : regular representation of H
- ► Slice cells:  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H}$  and  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H-1}$ Dimension = underlying dimension
- ▶  $S_{>n}$ : smallest full subcategory of *G*-spectra that
  - contains slice cells of dimension > n
  - closed under taking cofibers, extensions and wedges

• 
$$\mathcal{S}_{\leq n} = \{X \mid Map_G(Y, X) \simeq *, Y \in \mathcal{S}_{>n}\}$$

- ▶ G: finite group.  $H \subset G$ .  $\rho_H$ : regular representation of H
- ► Slice cells:  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H}$  and  $G_+ \wedge_H S^{n\rho_H-1}$ Dimension = underlying dimension
- ▶  $S_{>n}$ : smallest full subcategory of *G*-spectra that
  - contains slice cells of dimension > n
  - closed under taking cofibers, extensions and wedges

Slice tower:



Slice tower:



Apply  $\pi^{G}_{*}(-)$ :



Slice tower:



Apply  $\pi^{G}_{*}(-)$ :

$$E_1^{s,t} = \pi_{t-s}^G P_t^t X \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-s}^G X$$
$$d_r : E_r^{s,t} \longrightarrow E_r^{s+r,t+r-1}$$

Slice tower:



Slice tower:



Apply  $\pi^{G}_{\bigstar}(-)$ :



Slice tower:



Apply  $\pi^{G}_{\bigstar}(-)$ :



Slice tower:



Slice tower:



Apply  $\underline{\pi}_*(-)$ :



Slice tower:



Apply  $\underline{\pi}_*(-)$ :



 $\underline{\underline{E}}_{1}^{s,t} = \underline{\pi}_{t-s} P_{t}^{t} X \Longrightarrow \underline{\pi}_{t-s} X$  $d_{r} : \underline{\underline{E}}_{r}^{s,t} \longrightarrow \underline{\underline{E}}_{r}^{s+r,t+r-1}$ 

This is a spectral sequence of Mackey functors.

This is a spectral sequence of Mackey functors.

It is also a Mackey functor of spectral sequences!

#### Slice tower:







Slice tower:



Slice tower:



Apply  $\underline{\pi}_{\bigstar}(-)$ :



Slice tower:



Apply  $\underline{\pi}_{\bigstar}(-)$ :

# $C_2$ -SliceSS( $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ ): $\underline{\pi}_*K_{\mathbb{R}}$



• Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ 

• Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ 

• 
$$P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}}=0$$
 for all  $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ 

• Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ 

• 
$$P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}}=0$$
 for all  $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ 

• 
$$P_{2n}^{2n}K_{\mathbb{R}} = S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

- Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$
- $P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $P_{2n}^{2n}K_{\mathbb{R}} = S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- E<sub>1</sub>-page:

$$\pi^{C_2}_{\bigstar}(S^{n
ho}\wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

- Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$
- $P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $P_{2n}^{2n}K_{\mathbb{R}} = S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- E<sub>1</sub>-page:

$$\pi_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = H_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho};\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

- Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$
- ▶  $P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $P_{2n}^{2n}K_{\mathbb{R}} = S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- E<sub>1</sub>-page:

$$\pi_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = H_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho};\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \pi_{\bigstar-n\rho}^{C_2}H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$$

- Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$
- $P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $P_{2n}^{2n}K_{\mathbb{R}} = S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- E<sub>1</sub>-page:

$$\pi_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$
$$= H_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho};\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$
$$= \pi_{\bigstar-n\rho}^{C_2}H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Note that RO(C<sub>2</sub>) = ℤ ⊕ ℤ generated by 1 and σ

- Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$
- $P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}}=0$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{Z}$
- $P_{2n}^{2n}K_{\mathbb{R}} = S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- E<sub>1</sub>-page:

$$\pi_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$
$$= H_{\bigstar}^{C_2}(S^{n\rho};\underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$
$$= \pi_{\bigstar-n\rho}^{C_2}H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Note that RO(C<sub>2</sub>) = ℤ ⊕ ℤ generated by 1 and σ

• 
$$a_{\sigma}: S^0 \longrightarrow S^{\sigma}$$

- Dugger computed the  $C_2$ -slice spectral sequence of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$
- $P_{2n-1}^{2n-1}K_{\mathbb{R}}=0$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{Z}$
- $P_{2n}^{2n}K_{\mathbb{R}} = S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- E<sub>1</sub>-page:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{C_2}_{\bigstar}(S^{n\rho} \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &= H^{C_2}_{\bigstar}(S^{n\rho};\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ &= \pi^{C_2}_{\bigstar - n\rho} H\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

- Note that RO(C<sub>2</sub>) = ℤ ⊕ ℤ generated by 1 and σ
- $a_{\sigma}: S^0 \longrightarrow S^{\sigma}$
- $u_{2\sigma}$ : generator of  $H_2^{C_2}(S^{2\sigma};\underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathbb{Z}$

 $\pi^{C_2}_{a+b\sigma}H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ 



# $C_2$ -SliceSS( $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ ): $\underline{\pi}_*K_{\mathbb{R}}$



 $C_2$ -SliceSS( $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ ):  $\pi^u_*K_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_*KU$ 


# $C_2$ -SliceSS( $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ ): $\pi^{C_2}_*K_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_*KO$



# $C_2$ -SliceSS( $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ ): $\pi^{C_2}_*K_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_*KO$



In the  $RO(C_2)$ -grading:

•  $\bar{v}_1 \in \pi_{\rho}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives  $\rho$ -periodicity

In the  $RO(C_2)$ -grading:

•  $ar{v}_1 \in \pi_{
ho}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives ho-periodicity

► 
$$u_{2\sigma}^2 \in \pi_{4-4\sigma}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$$
 gives  $(4 - 4\sigma)$ -periodicity

In the  $RO(C_2)$ -grading:

- $ar{v}_1 \in \pi_{
  ho}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives ho-periodicity
- ►  $u_{2\sigma}^2 \in \pi_{4-4\sigma}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives  $(4-4\sigma)$ -periodicity

$$\pi_{\bigstar+\rho}^{C_2}K_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_2}K_{\mathbb{R}}$$

In the  $RO(C_2)$ -grading:

- $ar{v}_1 \in \pi_{
  ho}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives ho-periodicity
- ►  $u_{2\sigma}^2 \in \pi_{4-4\sigma}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives  $(4-4\sigma)$ -periodicity

$$\pi_{igstar{h}+
ho}^{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_{igstar{h}}^{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\pi_{\bigstar+8}^{\mathsf{C}_2}\mathsf{K}_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_{\bigstar}^{\mathsf{C}_2}\mathsf{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

In the  $RO(C_2)$ -grading:

- $\bar{v}_1 \in \pi_{\rho}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives  $\rho$ -periodicity
- ►  $u_{2\sigma}^2 \in \pi_{4-4\sigma}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$  gives  $(4-4\sigma)$ -periodicity

$$\pi_{\bigstar+\rho}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_2} K_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\pi_{\bigstar+8}^{C_2}K_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_{\bigstar}^{C_2}K_{\mathbb{R}}$$

Two equivalences:

$$S^{
ho} \wedge K_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq K_{\mathbb{R}}$$
  
 $S^8 \wedge K_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq K_{\mathbb{R}}$ 



- ► Odd slices ≃ \*
- - Computable!

- ► Odd slices ≃ \*
- Even slices =  $\bigvee_{H \subset G \text{ nontrivial}} (G_+ \wedge_H S^{m\rho_H}) \wedge H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$

• 
$$E_1$$
-page:  $H^G_{\bigstar}(G_+ \wedge_H S^{m\rho_H}; \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$   
Computable!

What about Detection Theorems, Periodicity Theorems, and Gap Theorems? Hurewicz Image of  $BP_{\mathbb{R}}^{C_2}$ 

#### $BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 3 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle$

Hurewicz Image of  $BP_{\mathbb{R}}^{C_2}$ 

# $BP_{\mathbb{R}}^{C_2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 3 \rangle^{C_2} \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle^{C_2} \longrightarrow BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle^{C_2}$

# Hurewicz Image of $BP_{\mathbb{R}}^{C_2}$



What are the Hurewicz images?

#### Theorem (Li–S.–Wang–Xu)

The Hopf, Kervaire, and  $\bar{\kappa}$ -families are detected by the Hurewicz map  $\pi_* \mathbb{S} \to \pi_* BP_{\mathbb{R}}^{C_2}$ .

#### Theorem (Li–S.–Wang–Xu)

The Hopf, Kervaire, and  $\bar{\kappa}$ -families are detected by the Hurewicz map  $\pi_* \mathbb{S} \to \pi_* BP_{\mathbb{R}}^{C_2}$ .

#### Theorem (Li–S.–Wang–Xu)

The C<sub>2</sub>-fixed points of  $BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle n \rangle$  detects the first n elements of the Hopf- and Kervaire-family, and the first (n-1) elements of the  $\bar{\kappa}$ -family.

► 
$$h_i \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}^{1,2^i}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{F}_2)$$

 h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2i</sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub>(𝔽<sub>2</sub>,𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4

h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2<sup>i</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4
h<sup>2</sup><sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>2,2<sup>j+1</sup></sup><sub>A</sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>):

h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2i</sup><sub>A\*</sub>(𝔽<sub>2</sub>,𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4
h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>2,2i+1</sup><sub>A\*</sub>(𝔽<sub>2</sub>,𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sup>2</sup><sub>i</sub> survives and detects Kervaire class θ<sub>j</sub> for j ≤ 5

h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2<sup>i</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4
h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>2,2<sup>j+1</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> survives and detects Kervaire class θ<sub>j</sub> for j ≤ 5 h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> supports differential for j ≥ 7 the fate of h<sup>2</sup><sub>6</sub> is unknown

h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2<sup>i</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4
h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>2,2<sup>j+1</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> survives and detects Kervaire class θ<sub>j</sub> for j ≤ 5 h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> supports differential for j ≥ 7 the fate of h<sup>2</sup><sub>6</sub> is unknown

• 
$$g_k = \langle h_{k+2}^2, h_{k-1}, h_k, h_{k+1} \rangle \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}^{4,2^{k+2}+2^{k+3}}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2):$$

h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2<sup>i</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4
h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>2,2<sup>j+1</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> survives and detects Kervaire class θ<sub>j</sub> for j ≤ 5 h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> supports differential for j ≥ 7 the fate of h<sup>2</sup><sub>6</sub> is unknown

►  $g_k = \langle h_{k+2}^2, h_{k-1}, h_k, h_{k+1} \rangle \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}^{4, 2^{k+2}+2^{k+3}}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2):$  $g_1, g_2$  survive and detect  $\bar{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}_2$  in stems 20, 44

h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2<sup>i</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4
h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>2,2<sup>j+1</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> survives and detects Kervaire class θ<sub>j</sub> for j ≤ 5 h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> supports differential for j ≥ 7 the fate of h<sup>2</sup><sub>6</sub> is unknown

►  $g_k = \langle h_{k+2}^2, h_{k-1}, h_k, h_{k+1} \rangle \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}^{4,2^{k+2}+2^{k+3}}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ :  $g_1, g_2$  survive and detect  $\bar{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}_2$  in stems 20, 44  $g_3$  supports a nonzero differential in stem 92

h<sub>i</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>1,2<sup>i</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sub>i</sub> survives and detects Hopf map for i ≤ 3 h<sub>i</sub> supports nonzero differentials for i ≥ 4
h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> ∈ Ext<sup>2,2<sup>j+1</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> survives and detects Kervaire class θ<sub>j</sub> for j ≤ 5 h<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub> supports differential for j ≥ 7 the fate of h<sup>2</sup><sub>6</sub> is unknown
g<sub>k</sub> = ⟨h<sup>2</sup><sub>k+2</sub>, h<sub>k-1</sub>, h<sub>k</sub>, h<sub>k+1</sub>⟩ ∈ Ext<sup>4,2<sup>k+2</sup>+2<sup>k+3</sup></sup><sub>A<sub>\*</sub></sub> (𝔽<sub>2</sub>, 𝔽<sub>2</sub>): π = a survive and detect m is in the survey of the factors and detect m is in the survey of the factors and detect m is in the survey of the factors and detect m is in the survey of the factors and detect m is in the survey of the factors and detect m is in the survey of the factors and detect m is in the survey of the factors are survey and detect m is in the survey of the factors are survey of th

 $g_1, g_2$  survive and detect  $\bar{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}_2$  in stems 20, 44  $g_3$  supports a nonzero differential in stem 92 the fate of  $g_k$  for  $k \ge 4$  is unknown







#### central $C_2 \subset \mathbb{S}_n$ : acts on $E_{n*}$ by [-1].

central  $C_2 \subset \mathbb{S}_n$ : acts on  $E_{n*}$  by [-1].







Can we lift it?

#### Theorem (Hahn–S.)

The Morava E-theory is Real oriented: it receives a  $C_2$ -equivariant map

$$MU_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow E_n$$

from the Real bordism spectrum  $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ .






Detection theorems for  $BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle n \rangle^{C_2}$  $\implies$  Detection theorems for  $E_n^{hC_2}$ .

#### Theorem (Li–S.–Wang–Xu, Hahn–S.)

 $E_n^{hC_2}$  detects the first n elements of the Hopf- and Kervaire-family, and the first (n-1) elements of the  $\bar{\kappa}$ -family.

#### Theorem (Li–S.–Wang–Xu, Hahn–S.)

 $E_n^{hC_2}$  detects the first n elements of the Hopf- and Kervaire-family, and the first (n-1) elements of the  $\bar{\kappa}$ -family.

The Hurewicz images of  $E_n^{hC_2}$  and  $BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle n \rangle^{C_2}$  are the same.

What about the periodicity and the gap?

What about the periodicity and the gap?

• 
$$\pi_* E_n^{hC_2}$$
 is  $2^{n+2}$ -periodic.

What about the periodicity and the gap?

• 
$$\pi_* E_n^{hC_2}$$
 is  $2^{n+2}$ -periodic.

• 
$$\pi_i E_n^{hC_2} = 0$$
 for  $i = -1, -2, -3$ 

What about bigger groups?

What about bigger groups?

#### Theorem (Hahn-Shi)

Let  $G \subset S_n$  be a finite subgroup containing the central subgroup  $C_2$ . There is a G-equivariant map

$$MU^{((G))} \longrightarrow E_n.$$





$$BP^{((C_{2^m}))} \longrightarrow BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle n \rangle$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$E_{n \cdot 2^{m-1}}$$

$$\bigcup_{C_{2^m}}$$

Techniques developed by Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel show that

• 
$$\pi_* E_{n \cdot 2^{m-1}}^{hC_{2^m}}$$
 is periodic with period  $2^{n \cdot 2^{m-1} + m + 1}$ .

$$BP^{((C_{2^m}))} \longrightarrow BP^{((C_{2^m}))}\langle n \rangle$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$E_{n \cdot 2^{m-1}}$$

$$\bigcup_{C_{2^m}}^{\mathcal{F}}$$

Techniques developed by Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel show that



# $SliceSS(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle)$



# $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle)$



#### • $RO(C_4) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , generated by 1, $\sigma$ , and $\lambda$

*RO*(*C*<sub>4</sub>) = ℤ ⊕ ℤ ⊕ ℤ, generated by 1, σ, and λ
 *D*<sup>-1</sup>*BP*<sup>((*C*<sub>4</sub>))</sup>⟨1⟩ has three periodicities:

- $RO(C_4) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , generated by 1,  $\sigma$ , and  $\lambda$
- $D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$  has three periodicities:
  - $S^{\rho_4} \wedge D^{-1} BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1} BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$

- $RO(C_4) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , generated by 1,  $\sigma$ , and  $\lambda$
- $D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$  has three periodicities:
  - $S^{\rho_4} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$
  - $S^{4-4\sigma} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((\hat{C_4}))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((\hat{C_4}))}\langle 1 \rangle$

- $RO(C_4) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , generated by 1,  $\sigma$ , and  $\lambda$
- $D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$  has three periodicities:
  - $S^{\rho_4} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$
  - $S^{4-4\sigma} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(1) \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(1)$
  - $S^{8+8\sigma-8\lambda} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((\check{C}_4))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((\check{C}_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$

- $RO(C_4) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , generated by 1,  $\sigma$ , and  $\lambda$
- $D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$  has three periodicities:
  - $S^{\rho_4} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$
  - $S^{4-4\sigma} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$
  - $S^{8+8\sigma-8\lambda} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((\check{C}_4))}\langle 1 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((\check{C}_4))}\langle 1 \rangle$

► Together, they imply the 32-periodicity!  

$$8\rho_4 + 4(4 - 4\sigma) + (8 + 8\sigma - 8\lambda)$$
  
 $= 8(1 + \sigma + \lambda) + 4(4 - 4\sigma) + (8 + 8\sigma - 8\lambda)$   
 $= 32$ 

# $BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$
$$\implies \eta, \eta^2, \nu, \nu^2, \bar{\kappa} \text{ are detected in } \pi_*^{C_2} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$

$$\implies \eta, \eta^2, \nu, \nu^2, \bar{\kappa} \text{ are detected in } \pi_*^{C_2} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$

$$\pi_*^{C_4} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{res} \pi_*^{C_2} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\pi_*^{S}$$

$$BP_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \longrightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$
  
$$\implies \eta, \eta^2, \nu, \nu^2, \bar{\kappa} \text{ are detected in } \pi_*^{C_2} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$
  
$$\pi_*^{C_4} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{res} \pi_*^{C_2} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$$
  
$$\uparrow$$
  
$$\pi_*^{S}$$

 $\implies$  These elements are also detected in  $\pi_*^{C_4} BP^{((C_4))} \langle 1 \rangle$ 

# $SliceSS(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 1 \rangle)$



# $SliceSS(BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 2 \rangle)$



# Stabilization of Filtration

For  $\nu$ :

$\pi_*^{C_{2^m}} BP^{((C_{2^m}))}$	<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>8</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>16</sub>
Filtration	3	1	1	1
Order	2	4	4	4

# Stabilization of Filtration

For  $\nu$ :

$\pi_*^{C_{2^m}} BP^{((C_{2^m}))}$	<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>8</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>16</sub>
Filtration	3	1	1	1
Order	2	4	4	4

For  $\theta_n$ :

$\pi_{*}^{C_{2^m}}BP^{((C_{2^m}))}$	<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>8</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>16</sub>
$\eta^2$	2	2	2	2
$\nu^2$	6	2	2	2
$\sigma^2$	14	10	2	2
$\theta_4$	30	18	2	2

## Hill's Detection Tower



### Conjecture (Hill)

1. As m increases, the filtration of a spherical class detected in  $\pi_*(MU^{((C_{2^m}))})^{C_{2^m}}$  decreases and eventually stabilizes to its Adams–Novikov filtration.

### Conjecture (Hill)

- 1. As m increases, the filtration of a spherical class detected in  $\pi_*(MU^{((C_{2^m}))})^{C_{2^m}}$  decreases and eventually stabilizes to its Adams–Novikov filtration.
- 2. When this class first moves into its stable filtration, it achieves its maximal order that is detected by  $\pi_*(MU^{((C_{2^m}))})^{C_{2^m}}$  for all m.

### Conjecture (Hill)

- 1. As m increases, the filtration of a spherical class detected in  $\pi_*(MU^{((C_{2^m}))})^{C_{2^m}}$  decreases and eventually stabilizes to its Adams–Novikov filtration.
- When this class first moves into its stable filtration, it achieves its maximal order that is detected by π<sub>\*</sub>(MU<sup>((C<sub>2</sub>m))</sup>)<sup>C<sub>2</sub>m</sup> for all m.

#### Question (Hill)

What is the Hurewicz image of  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \pi_*(MU^{((C_{2^m}))})^{C_{2^m}}$ ?

## Hill's Detection Tower


#### Hill's Detection Tower



Slice SS vs. Homotopy Fixed Point SS



Slice SS vs. Homotopy Fixed Point SS



This is an isomorphism under the line of slope 1

# $HFPSS(E_2^{hC_4})$



# $SliceSS(E_2^{hC_4})$



► Gap Theorem is immediate at the *E*<sub>2</sub>-page.

- ► Gap Theorem is immediate at the *E*<sub>2</sub>-page.
- There is a region consisting of only regular G-slice cells. It's sparse.

- ► Gap Theorem is immediate at the *E*<sub>2</sub>-page.
- ► There is a region consisting of only regular *G*-slice cells. It's sparse.
- ► Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel proved *all* the differentials in this region (the Slice Differential Theorem).

- ► Gap Theorem is immediate at the *E*<sub>2</sub>-page.
- There is a region consisting of only regular G-slice cells. It's sparse.
- ► Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel proved *all* the differentials in this region (the Slice Differential Theorem).
- These differentials imply the Periodicity Theorem.

- ► Gap Theorem is immediate at the *E*<sub>2</sub>-page.
- There is a region consisting of only regular G-slice cells. It's sparse.
- ► Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel proved *all* the differentials in this region (the Slice Differential Theorem).
- These differentials imply the Periodicity Theorem.
- ► Slice SS + isomorphism + Periodicity Theorem  $\stackrel{\text{recovers}}{\Longrightarrow}$  HFPSS









Restriction and transfer are maps of spectral sequences.



- Restriction and transfer are maps of spectral sequences.
- Norm "stretches out" differentials.

#### Theorem (Hill-Hopkins-Ravenel)

Let  $d_r(x) = y$  be a  $d_r$ -differential in  $C_2$ -SliceSS( $MU^{((C_4))}$ ). If both  $a_\sigma N_{C_2}^{C_4} x$  and  $N_{C_2}^{C_4} y$  survive to the  $E_{2r-1}$ -page in  $C_4$ -SliceSS( $MU^{((C_4))}$ ), then

$$d_{2r-1}(a_{\sigma}N_{C_{2}}^{C_{4}}x)=N_{C_{2}}^{C_{4}}y.$$

#### Restriction



 $C_4$ -SliceSS

C<sub>2</sub>-SliceSS

Transfer



C<sub>4</sub>-SliceSS C<sub>2</sub>-SliceSS

#### Norm

C<sub>2</sub>-SliceSS: 
$$d_7(u_{4\sigma_2}) = \bar{r}_1^2 \gamma \bar{r}_1 a_{\sigma_2}^7$$
  

$$\downarrow^{\text{apply norm}}$$
C<sub>4</sub>-SliceSS:  $d_{13}(u_{4\lambda}a_{\sigma}) = \bar{\mathfrak{d}}_1^3 a_{\lambda}^7$ 







### $SliceSS(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle)$





 $SliceSS(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{13}$ 



## $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2\rangle): d_{15}$



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{19}$



### $SliceSS(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{21}$



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{23}$



### $SliceSS(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{27}$



### $SliceSS(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{29}$



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{31}$



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{35}$



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{43}$



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2\rangle): d_{51}$



 $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{53}$ 



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{55}$


### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{59}$



 $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle): d_{61}$ 



### $\mathsf{SliceSS}(BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle) : E_{\infty}$



## SliceSS( $BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle$ ): Hurewicz Images



• There are three periodicities for  $D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle$ :

# There are three periodicities for D<sup>-1</sup>BP<sup>((C<sub>4</sub>))</sup>⟨2⟩: S<sup>3ρ<sub>4</sub></sup> ∧ D<sup>-1</sup>BP<sup>((C<sub>4</sub>))</sup>⟨2⟩ ≃ D<sup>-1</sup>BP<sup>((C<sub>4</sub>))</sup>⟨2⟩

#### ► There are three periodicities for D<sup>-1</sup>BP<sup>((C<sub>4</sub>))</sup>(2):

- $S^{3\rho_4} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(2) \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(2)$
- $\succ S^{32+32\sigma-32\lambda} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle$

► There are three periodicities for D<sup>-1</sup>BP<sup>((C<sub>4</sub>))</sup>(2):

- $S^{3\rho_4} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(2) \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(2)$
- $S^{32+32\sigma-32\lambda} \wedge D^{-1} BP^{((C_4))}(2) \simeq D^{-1} BP^{((C_4))}(2)$
- $S^{8-8\sigma} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle$

- There are three periodicities for  $D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle$ :
  - $S^{3\rho_4} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(2) \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}(2)$
  - $S^{32+32\sigma-32\lambda} \wedge D^{-1} B P^{((C_4))} \langle 2 \rangle \simeq D^{-1} B P^{((C_4))} \langle 2 \rangle$
  - $S^{8-8\sigma} \wedge D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle \simeq D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle$
- These periodicities imply that  $D^{-1}BP^{((C_4))}\langle 2 \rangle$  is 384-periodic!  $32 \cdot (3\rho_4) + 3 \cdot (32 + 32\sigma - 32\lambda) + 24 \cdot (8 - 8\sigma)$   $= 32 \cdot (3 + 3\sigma + 3\lambda) + 3 \cdot (32 + 32\sigma - 32\lambda) + 24 \cdot (8 - 8\sigma)$ = 384

## Thank you all for coming!