# Talk 5, 6 Basics of Spectra

Hana Jia Kong

The University of Chicago

July 19, 2021

```
"The first category of spectra"
```

 (Fraudenthal's suspension theorem) Let Y be (n-1)-connected space Then  $[X,Y] \rightarrow [X,ZY]$ is iso if dim (X) < 2n-1, and surjection if dim (X)=2n-1. • SW category (Spanier–Whitehead, 1953): • objects: pointed CW - cplx• morphisms:  $Hom(X, Y) := \underbrace{\lim_{q \to 0} [\Sigma^{q} X, \Sigma^{q} Y]}$  More generally, we can include the non-connective objects: objects: (X, n) morphisms: Hom ((X,n), (Y,m)) := (im ∑Z<sup>q+n</sup> X, Z<sup>q+m</sup>Y]
Pros: 

Convenient for duality
Triangulated category

Cons: 

Pont have all coproducts Ho(Top\*) → SW doesn't preserve coproducts.

#### Recall: how did spectra arise

• Roughly speaking, a spectrum consists of the following data:

• They represent cohomology theories.

## Why spectra

- Spectra and cohomology theories they are not equivalent. There exist "hyperphantom maps": f = E → F map of spectra s.t.
   D f d D
   E) f induces D on cohomology theories.
- The category has point-set models to record the geometric data.
- Has good structures after passing to the homotopy theory.

#### Multiplicative enhancement

Multiplicative cohomology theories: In many examples, we have E<sup>n</sup>(x) O Ê<sup>m</sup>(Y) → Ê<sup>m+n</sup>(XAY)
cup product
tensor of vector bundles (KU)
cartesian product of manifolds (MU)
In view of Brown's theorem:

$$[X, En] \otimes [Y, Em] \longrightarrow [X \land X, En \land Em] \xrightarrow{\text{decay}} [X, En \land Em]$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{Mn+m}} [X, En+m]$$

15-0

### Towards a good smash product

- Want a good symmetric monoidal category of spectra.
- (Aside) Symmetric monoidal category (C, ⊗, U):
  Tensor: Ø: L×L→L
  Unit: U EL
  Unit: U EL
  Unital & associative & commutactive diagrams.
  Closed symmetric monoidal: Home (A®B, C) = Home (A, Home (B:C))
  A naive try: (X ∧ Y)<sub>n</sub> : = X<sub>n</sub> ∧ Y<sub>n</sub> problem : str. map hand to define
  Adams' "handcrafted" ∧: Commutative & associative up to homotopy
  Ho(S): closed sym monoical Category.

#### An obstacle: Lewis' theorem

Theorem (Lewis, 1990)

There is no symmetric monoidal category  $(S, \wedge, U)$  of spectra with the following properties:

- There exists a lax monoidal adjunction  $\Sigma^{\infty} : Top_* \leftrightarrows S : \Omega^{\infty}$ .
- The canonical map  $\Sigma^{\infty}S^0 \to U$  is an isomorphism.
- Let Q be the stabilization functor  $QX := \operatorname{colim}_n \Omega^n \Sigma^n(X)$ . There is a natural weak homotopy equivalence f:



# A sketch proof of Lewis' theorem

i) Adjunction : 
$$\Sigma^{\infty} + \Lambda^{\infty}$$
  
z)  $z^{\infty} S^{\circ}$  is the unit  
3)  $\Lambda^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} \times \xrightarrow{c} Q X$ 

Sketch proof:

•  $z^{\infty}S^{\circ}$  is the unit =>  $z^{\infty}S^{\circ}$  is a comm. monoid.

•  $\mathcal{N}^{\infty}$  [ax sym unoidal =>  $\mathcal{N}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} \mathbb{S}^{\circ}$  is a comm. monoid.

• 
$$\mathcal{N}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}^{\infty} S^{\circ} \cong Q S^{\circ} \Longrightarrow Q S^{\circ}$$
 is comm, monoid  
Moore 's thim  
 $Q S^{\circ} \subseteq T H A_{a}$  contradiction !

## Compromises: Different models

- S-modules  $\mathcal{M}_S$  (Elmendorf–Kriz–Mandell–May, 1997)
- Orthogonal spectra Sp<sup>O</sup>(Mandell–May–Schwede–Shipley, 1998)
- Symmetric spectra  $Sp^{\Sigma}(Hovey-Shipley-Smith,2000)$

# Orthogonal spectra $Sp^{O}$

- space of all space of all space of all • Topological category O: • objects: finite dim inner product vector spaces • morphisms: O(U, W) := Th(3(U, W)) vector bunde over L(U, W)• Definition: An orthogonal spectra is a continuous functor  $E: O \rightarrow Top_{*}$ . Morphisms are natural transformations.  $O \times O \xrightarrow{E \land F}$  Topx  $O \xrightarrow{-1}$  ieft kan  $\longrightarrow E \land F$  (the dotted currow)  $O \xrightarrow{-1}$  extension (has constructe formula) • Smash product: Day convolution. Stable The-equivalences: The CE):= colim [S, Ecu)] Weak equivalences:
- The third property in Lewis' theorem fails.  $\Sigma^{\infty}S^{\circ} = \{S^{\circ}, S^{\circ}, S^{\circ},$

### EKMM spectra

- Idea: make things coordinate-free. Fix a universe  $U \cong \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ .
- Definition: A prespectrum E is the structure consisting of •  $E(v) \in Top_{*}$ ,  $\forall$  inner product  $v.s. V \subseteq U$ •  $\nabla v, w : \Sigma^{W-V} E(v) \longrightarrow E(W)$ ,  $\forall V \subseteq W$
- Definition:

E is a (Lewis-May-Steinberger) spectrum if TU,W is a low comorphism, & U & V & U & .• Adjunctions:  $Top_* \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{\infty}}_{-\infty} PS \xrightarrow{L}_{-\infty} SU$ • forgetful functor

## EKMM smash product: the first try

$$W \leftarrow (U, U')$$

$$U \leftarrow \mathcal{A} \qquad U \oplus U$$
• The first try:  $E \wedge F(W) = E \wedge F(U, U') = E(U) \wedge F(U')$ 
• Problem: Depends on  $\mathcal{A}$ 
• Fix?: The space of all  $U \oplus U \rightarrow U$  is contractible.  
 $W \rightarrow U$  is contractible.  
 $W \rightarrow U$  is contractible.  
 $W \rightarrow U$  is contractible.  
 $U \rightarrow U$  is  $U \rightarrow U$  is contractible.  
 $U \rightarrow U$  is  $U \rightarrow U$  is  $U \rightarrow U$  is  $U \rightarrow U$ .

#### EKMM smash product: the second try

• Idea: replace a choice  $\{U \oplus U \to U\} \in \mathscr{L}(2)$  by all choices  $\mathscr{L}(2) = \mathscr{L}(U \oplus U, U).$ • The second try: • The second try: • Problem: non associative. • Fix?: Quotient out the duplicated copies • Compared the duplicated copies  $E \Lambda_{L}F = L (2) \times K (E \overline{\Lambda}F)$ •  $L(2) \times L(1) \times L(1) \longrightarrow L(2)$  need  $L(1) \rightarrow E$   $u = u = u = u = y = f \circ g \circ h$   $L(1) \rightarrow F$ • Definition: An U-spec is LMS spec with our action of 201).



# Symmetric spectra $Sp^{\Sigma}$

• Definition: The category of symmetric spectra • objects: Equivariant spaces  $X_n^{\Sigma_n}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Str. maps  $\Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ • morphisms: equivariant maps  $\Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  is  $\Sigma_m X \Sigma_n$ level wise.  $\Sigma^m X_n \rightarrow X_{m+n}$  is  $\Sigma_m X \Sigma_n$ nash product: • The first step:  $X \otimes Y$ By Vay convolution • Key fact:  $D \leq S^2 = : S$  is a comme monorid in  $(Sp^{\Sigma}, 0, S)$ Smash product: ② { Sym Spec} ~ {modules over S } •  $X \wedge Y := \chi \bigotimes_{\xi} Y$ • Warning: If take stable The to be the w.e. => too many homotopy Need to take weaker replacement. The third property in Lewis' theorem fails.

### Comparison

Differences:

- Orthogonal spectra  $Sp^{\mathbf{O}}$ : model cmodified) for Hill -Hopkins-Ravanel
- EKMM spectra  $\mathcal{M}_S$ : hard to define 1200 records Oth face information All objeure fibrant S is not cofibrant • Symmetric spectra  $Sp^{\Sigma}$ : Stable The work will. (hand to do equivariant thry) (Shipley) I convenient model str. on comm. my Quillen equivalences: • (MMSS) Sp<sup>z</sup> Zigzag of O.e. Sp<sup>O</sup> • (Schwede) Sp 2 and Ms • (Schwede-Shipley) Sp<sup>z</sup> (2.e. any correct cat of spec

## Some properties

- Ho(S) is triangulated.
  - (Aside) Triangulated category ( $\mathscr{C}$ , [1]):
    - distinguished triangles: <x→Y→Z→ XTI]] An familie • translation:  $[1] : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$
    - An familiar example:  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$
- Fiber sequences are cofiber sequences and vice versa.

The  $\infty$ -treatment: the abstract definition

•  $\mathscr{S}_*$ : the  $\infty$ -category of spaces.

• Definition: 
$$\Omega X := \lim_{X \to X} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ x \to \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

- Definition: The  $\infty$ -category Sp is  $\lim_{k \to \infty} (\dots \longrightarrow S_* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} S_*)$
- Works more generally for  $\mathscr C$  with finite limits

1) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{*} := \mathcal{L}^{*}$$
 the pointed cat  
2) define  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{L}_{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{*}$   
2) take  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{L}) := \operatorname{Lim}(\dots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{L}_{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{*})$ 

 $\mathcal{D}_{1}: S_{X} \rightarrow S_{X}$ 

### A concrete construction via excisive functors

We have a more concrete construction via excisice functors

- $\mathscr{C}$ : an  $\infty$ -category with finite limits.
- $\mathscr{S}^{\mathrm{fin}}_*$ :  $\infty$  cost of finite spaces
- Definition:

A spectrum object in  $\mathscr C$  is a functor  $F:\mathscr S^{\mathrm{fin}}_* o \mathscr C$  such that is

• excisive:	spinds	push out	squarres	to	fullback	squares
reduced:	Sends	terminal	əbis	10 7	tenninal	objs

# (Continued)

• Properties(Lurie, Higher Algebra):

- Adjunction: l'presentable. ∑<sup>∞</sup> admits a left adjoint: ∑<sup>∞</sup>: Sp(l) = l: ∑<sup>∞</sup>.
  Universal property: l, D presentable, D stable.
  P<sup>L</sup><sub>H</sub> (Sp(l), D) = P<sup>L</sup><sub>H</sub> (l, D) + the dual statement.
- Agrees with the model category definition.

## Stable $\infty$ -category

 $\bullet\,$  Definition: An  $\infty\text{-category}\ {\mathscr C}$  is stable if:

(Lurie) C: a stable ∞-category. Ho(C) has the structure of a triangulated category.

Thank you!